REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Upon entry of the present amendment, claims 31, 32, 35-44, 46-52 and 64-66 will remain

pending in the above-identified application.

Claim 31 has been amended based on the disclosure at page 10, lines 28-31 of the

specification and previous claims 34 and 45, which are cancelled to avoid redundancy with

amended claim 31. Claim 33 is also cancelled to maintain consistency with the amended claims.

The present amendments to the claims do not introduce new matter into the application

as originally filed. As such entry of the instant amendment and favorable action on the merits is

earnestly solicited.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

Claims 31-52 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner states that claims 32-52 and

claims 64-66 are rejected for depending on claim 31 with the new matter. This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

In this Amendment, "C1-C6 alkane" in claim 31 is amended to read "C4-C20 alkane"

based on the disclosure at page 11, lines 24-26 of the specification. Withdrawal of the rejection

is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

Claims 31-52 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Docket No.: 0365-0670PUS1 Page 8 of 10

Reply dated November 17, 2011

Application No.: 10/573,041

Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2011

applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that claims 32-52 and 64-66 are rejected

for depending on the indefinite claim 31. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 31 has been amended to recite "separating from the water and the non-solvent"

(emphasis added). One skilled in the art can reasonably conclude that the inventor had

possession of the claimed invention in view of the specification (e.g., working Examples).

In view of the amendments to the claims, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection

are respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a)

Claims 31-40, 42-48, 50-52 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Bengs US '459 (US 6,562,459) in view of Tanaka US '449 (US 6.617.449)

and Feuer US '279 (US 5,693,279).

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bengs US '459,

Tanaka US '449 and Feuer US '279, in view of Rudolph US '392 (US 4.011.392).

Further, claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bengs

US '459, Tanaka US '449 and Feuer US '279, in view of Portnoy US '186 (US 4,716,186).

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and request that the Examiner withdraw the

rejections based on the following considerations.

Nonobviousness over the Combination of the Cited References

In this Amendment, claim 31 has been amended by reciting i) the solvent is used in a

minimum amount to dissolve the starch derivative or in an amount of up to 30 % by weight more

than the minimum amount, ii) a concentration of the starch derivative in the solution is 10-30 %

BIRCH STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP

GMM/TK/mpa/la

Docket No.: 0365-0670PUS1 Page 9 of 10

Reply dated November 17, 2011

Application No.: 10/573.041

Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2011

by weight (as recited in previous claim 34), and iii) an amount of the non-solvent used for

precipitation is 0.5-10 times by weight of the amount of the solvent (as recited in previous claim

35). In view of the amendments to the claims, the present invention has been further defined

over the combination of the cited references. According to the present invention, starch

pigments having excellent optical properties can be effectively obtained (see e.g., the disclosures

at page 4, lines 4 to 16 and pages 14, line 27 to page 15, line 2 of the present specification).

It is alleged in the Office Action that claimed invention is obvious over Bengs US \*459 in

view of the secondary references. However, none of the cited references discloses or suggests

such advantageous properties (e.g., optical properties) attained by the present invention. The

mere fact that references can be combined or modified does not render the resultant combination

obvious unless the prior art also suggests the desirability of the combination. In re Mills, 916

F.2d 680, 16 USPQ2d 1430 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, there is no rationale and/or reasonable expectation of success based on the

combination of the cited references, by which one skilled in the art could arrive at the present

invention as claimed. Thus, it is submitted that the present invention is not obvious over the

combination of the cited references.

Based on the foregoing considerations, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

withdraw the objections and the rejections.

Application No.: 10/573,041 Docket No.: 0365-0670PUS1 Reply dated November 17, 2011 Page 10 of 10

Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2011

CONCLUSION

Based upon the amendments and remarks presented herein, the Examiner is respectfully

requested to issue a Notice of Allowance clearly indicating that each of the pending claims is

allowed.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Toyohiko Konno, Reg. No. 68,859

at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to

charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: November 17, 2011

Respectfully submitted.

Engene T. Perez - (eg. No. 48,501

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. Registration No.: 28977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000